

## Appendix C: Equations Underlying Tables and Figures

### Table 3 and Figure 7

The revenue limit calculations of Table 3 are based on these equations:<sup>1</sup>

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta R_M &= -p_M \Delta E_M < 0, \\ \Delta R_x &= p_x \Delta E_x > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This frees up  $p_M \Delta E_M - p_x \Delta E_x$  in aid, which is split among property taxpayers in all districts:<sup>2</sup>

$$(8) \quad \Delta L_i = -(p_M \Delta E_M - p_x \Delta E_x) \cdot (V_i / \sum V_i),$$

and each district's aid is adjusted upward accordingly:

$$(9) \quad \Delta A_i = \Delta R_i + (p_M \Delta E_M - p_x \Delta E_x) \cdot (V_i / \sum V_i).$$

In the bottom half of Table 3, the net benefits are decomposed as follows:

$$(p_M \Delta E_M - p_x \Delta E_x) = (p_M - p_x) \Delta E_M - p_x (\Delta E_x - \Delta E_M).$$

The first term on the right-hand side is the reduction in spending on those students who switch, and the second term is the spending on vouchers for students who would not have attended MPS.

### Table 4 and Figure 8

Given  $\Delta R_M$  from (7), the 2/3 rule implies

$$(8') \quad \Delta L_i = (\Delta R_M / 3) \cdot (V_i / \sum V_i),$$

and each district's aid is adjusted upward accordingly:

$$(9') \quad \Delta A_i = \Delta R_i - (\Delta R_M / 3) \cdot (V_i / \sum V_i).$$

### Table 5 and FY94-99 in Figure 9

---

<sup>1</sup> Note that the changes we are considering are relative to having no vouchers. Thus  $\Delta E_x$  is actually  $E_x$ . Also, the enrollment change for Milwaukee  $\Delta E_M$ , is defined in absolute value, rather than algebraic.

<sup>2</sup> There is a slight complication due to the reduction in Wisconsin's total public enrollment, which will slightly raise the average valuation per pupil,  $v^3$ . Strictly speaking, the analyses in this paper hold  $v^3$  constant and only let  $v^2$  vary. The impact of this simplification is a very slight distortion of the distribution of levies between Milwaukee and the other districts.

During this period, Milwaukee's revenue limits include the voucher students, so  $R_M = p_M(E_M + E_x)$ , and

$$(7^I) \quad \Delta R_M = p_M \cdot (\Delta E_x - \Delta E_M) > 0.$$

The expressions for changes in local levies and aid reflect the MPCP aid deduction and levy substitution, as well as the 2/3 rule:

$$(8^I) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta L_M &= p_x \Delta E_x + (\Delta R_M / 3) \cdot (V_M / \sum V_i) \\ \Delta L_i &= (\Delta R_M / 3) \cdot (V_i / \sum V_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$(9^I) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta A_M &= (\Delta R_M - p_x \Delta E_x) - (\Delta R_M / 3) \cdot (V_M / \sum V_i) \\ \Delta A_i &= -(\Delta R_M / 3) \cdot (V_i / \sum V_i) \end{aligned}$$

Table 6 and FY00-01 in Figure 9

Voucher students were removed from revenue limits, so we have (7) again, for  $\Delta R_M$ . The expressions for changes in local levies and aid reflect the split of the MPCP aid deduction between Milwaukee and other districts (denoted as  $\sim M$ ). The expressions also reflect the 2/3 rule, including the addition of aid for 2/3 of the MPCP levy hike:

$$(8^{II}) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta L_M &= (p_x \Delta E_x) / 2 + [(\Delta R_M / 3) - (2/3)(p_x \Delta E_x)] \cdot (V_M / \sum V_i) \\ \Delta L_{\sim M} &= (p_x \Delta E_x) / 2 + [(\Delta R_M / 3) - (2/3)(p_x \Delta E_x)] \cdot (V_{\sim M} / \sum V_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$(9^{II}) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta A_M &= \Delta R_M - (p_x \Delta E_x) / 2 + [(2/3)(p_x \Delta E_x) - (\Delta R_M / 3)] \cdot (V_M / \sum V_i) \\ \Delta A_{\sim M} &= -(p_x \Delta E_x) / 2 + [(2/3)(p_x \Delta E_x) - (\Delta R_M / 3)] \cdot (V_{\sim M} / \sum V_i) \end{aligned}$$

Tables 7-8 and FY02-07 in Figure 9

The revenue limit calculation is unchanged from (7<sup>II</sup>). The expressions for changes in local levies and aid reflect the elimination of the MPCP deduction from non-Milwaukee districts and the adjustment of Milwaukee's deduction to 45%. The expressions also reflect the removal of the MPCP levy hike from the 2/3 rule.

$$(8^{III}) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta L_M &= 0.45 \cdot p_x \Delta E_x + (\Delta R_M / 3) \cdot (V_M / \sum V_i) \\ \Delta L_i &= (\Delta R_M / 3) \cdot (V_i / \sum V_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$(9^{III}) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta A_M &= \Delta R_M - 0.45 \cdot p_x \Delta E_x - (\Delta R_M / 3) \cdot (V_M / \sum V_i) \\ \Delta A_i &= -(\Delta R_M / 3) \cdot (V_i / \sum V_i) \end{aligned}$$