AMOP Lectures 8.0 Tue 2.25 2014

Relativity of transverse waves and 4-vectors (Ch. 2-5 of CMwBang-Unit 8 Ch. 6 of QTforCA Unit 2)

Reviewing "Relawavity" geometry Reviewing the stellar aberration angle σ *vs. rapidity* ρ Pattern recognition: "Occam's Sword" *Introducing per-spacetime 4-vector* $(\omega_0, \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z) = (\omega, ck_x, ck_y, ck_z)$ *transformation* More details of Lorentz boost of North-South-East-West plane-wave 4-vectors ($\omega_0, \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z$) Thales-like construction of Lorentz boost in 2D and 3D The spectral ellipsoid *Combination and interference of 4-vector plane waves (Idealized polarization case)* Combination group and phase waves define 4D Minkowski coordinates *Combination group and phase waves define wave guide dynamics* Waveguide dispersion and geometry 1st-quantized cavity modes (And introducing 2nd-quantized cavity modes) Lorentz symmetry effects How it makes momentum and energy be conserved



Reviewing "Relawavity" geometry Reviewing the stellar aberration angle σ vs. rapidity ρ Pattern recognition: "Occam's Sword"



Thursday, March 6, 2014



Thursday, March 6, 2014

Reviewing "Relawavity" geometry Reviewing the stellar aberration angle σ vs. rapidity ρ Pattern recognition: "Occam's Sword"





Thursday, March 6, 2014

7

QTforCA

Unit 8 Ch.5

 \mathcal{O}

Pattern recognition: "Occam's Sword"





from:Fig. 8.5.10 QTforCA Unit 8 Ch.5

 σ

Pattern recognition: "Occam's Sword"





from:Fig. 8.5.10 QTforCA Unit 8 Ch.5

 σ











Introducing per-spacetime 4-vector $(\omega_0, \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z) = (\omega, ck_x, ck_y, ck_z)$ transformation More details of Lorentz boost of North-South-East-West plane-wave 4-vectors $(\omega_0, \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z)$ Thales-like construction of Lorentz boost in 2D and 3D The spectral ellipsoid















After the 4-vector transformation, $\omega_0 = \omega_{\downarrow}$ is *transverse Doppler shifted* to $\omega_0 \cosh \rho_z$, while $ck_z = 0$ becomes $ck_z' = -\omega_0 \sinh \rho_z$. (The *x*-component is unchanged: $ck_x' = -\omega_0 = ck_x$ and so is *y*-component: $ck_y' = -\omega_0 = ck_y$.)



After the 4-vector transformation, $\omega_0 = \omega_{\downarrow}$ is *transverse Doppler shifted* to $\omega_0 \cosh \rho_z$, while $ck_z = 0$ becomes $ck_z' = -\omega_0 \sinh \rho_z$. (The *x*-component is unchanged: $ck_x' = -\omega_0 = ck_x$ and so is *y*-component: $ck_y' = -\omega_0 = ck_y$.)



After the 4-vector transformation, $\omega_0 = \omega_{\downarrow}$ is *transverse Doppler shifted* to $\omega_0 \cosh \rho_z$, while $ck_z = 0$ becomes $ck_z' = -\omega_0 \sinh \rho_z$. (The *x*-component is unchanged: $ck_x' = -\omega_0 = ck_x$ and so is *y*-component: $ck_y' = -\omega_0 = ck_y$.)

Recall hyperbolic invariant to Lorentz transform: $\omega^2 - c^2 k^2 = \omega'^2 - c^2 k'^2$ (=0 for 1-CW light) The 4-vector form of this is: $\omega^2 - c^2 \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k} = \omega'^2 - c^2 \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{k}'$ (=0 " ")





Fig. 5.10 CW cosmic speedometer.Geometry of Lorentz boost of counter-propagating waves.



The usual longitudinal Doppler blue shifts $e^{+\rho_z}$ or Doppler red shifts $e^{-\rho_z}$ appear on both k-vector and frequency ω_0 .



More details of Lorentz boost of North-South-East-West plane-wave 4-vectors ($\omega_0, \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z$) Thales-like construction of Lorentz boost in 2D and 3D The spectral ellipsoid

More details of Lorentz boost of North-South-East-West plane-wave 4-vectors ($\omega_0, \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z$)



More details of Lorentz boost of North-South-East-West plane-wave 4-vectors ($\omega_0, \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z$)



Blue shift factor is $e^{+\rho} = \cosh\rho + \sinh\rho = \sec\sigma + \tan\sigma$

Red shift factor is $e^{-\rho} = \cosh \rho - \sinh \rho = \sec \sigma - \tan \sigma$





More details of Lorentz boost of North-South-East-West plane-wave 4-vectors ($\omega_0, \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z$) Thales-like construction of Lorentz boost in 2D and 3D The spectral ellipsoid





$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{x\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{x\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{y\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{z\uparrow\theta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\rho_z & \cdot & \cdot & -\sinh\rho_z \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ -\sinh\rho_z & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ -\sinh\rho_z & \cdot & \cdot & \cosh\rho_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 \\ \omega_0 \cos\theta \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\omega_0 \sin\theta \end{pmatrix} = \omega_0 \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\rho_z + \sinh\rho_z \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \\ 0 \\ -\sinh\rho_z - \cosh\rho_z \sin\theta \end{pmatrix} = \omega_0 \begin{pmatrix} \sec\sigma + \tan\sigma \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \\ 0 \\ -\tan\sigma - \sec\sigma \sin\theta \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{x\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{x\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{x\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{z\uparrow\theta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\rho_z & \cdot & \cdot & -\sinh\rho_z \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ -\sinh\rho_z & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ -\sinh\rho_z & \cdot & \cdot & \cosh\rho_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 \\ \omega_0 \cos\theta \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\sinh\rho_z - \cosh\rho_z \sin\theta \end{pmatrix} = \omega_0 \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\rho_z + \sinh\rho_z \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \\ 0 \\ -\sinh\rho_z - \cosh\rho_z \sin\theta \end{pmatrix} = \omega_0 \begin{pmatrix} \sec\sigma + \tan\sigma \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \\ 0 \\ -\tan\sigma - \sec\sigma \sin\theta \end{pmatrix}$$





$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{x\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{x\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{x\uparrow\theta} \\ ck'_{z\uparrow\theta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\rho_z & \cdot & \cdot & -\sinh\rho_z \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ -\sinh\rho_z & \cdot & \cdot & \cosh\rho_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 \\ \omega_0 \cos\theta \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\sinh\rho_z - \cosh\rho_z \sin\theta \end{pmatrix} = \omega_0 \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\rho_z + \sinh\rho_z \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \\ 0 \\ -\sinh\rho_z - \cosh\rho_z \sin\theta \end{pmatrix} = \omega_0 \begin{pmatrix} \sec\sigma + \tan\sigma \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \\ 0 \\ -\tan\sigma - \sec\sigma \sin\theta \end{pmatrix}$$













Combination and interference of 4-vector plane waves (Idealized polarization case)
Combination group and phase waves define 4D Minkowski coordinates
Combination group and phase waves define wave guide dynamics
Waveguide dispersion and geometry
1st-quantized cavity modes
(And introducing 2nd-quantized cavity modes)

Combination and interference of 4-vector plane waves (Idealized amplitude case)

 $\Psi_{A \to , \omega \to , \mathbf{k} \to ; A \leftarrow , \omega \leftarrow , \mathbf{k} \leftarrow (\mathbf{r}, t) = A \to e^{i(\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{r} - \omega \to t)} + A \leftarrow e^{i(\mathbf{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{r} - \omega \leftarrow t)}$



Fig. 6.1.1 Sketch of a 1-CW-single-plane-polarized plane wavefunction $\Psi_k(\mathbf{r},t) = Ae^{i\Phi} = Ae^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}$ with wavevector **k**.

Combination and interference of 4-vector plane waves (Idealized amplitude case)

 $\Psi_{A \to , \omega \to , \mathbf{k} \to ; A \leftarrow , \omega \leftarrow , \mathbf{k} \leftarrow (\mathbf{r}, t) = A \to e^{i(\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{r} - \omega \to t)} + A \leftarrow e^{i(\mathbf{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{r} - \omega \leftarrow t)}$



Fig. 6.1.1 Sketch of a 1-CW-single-plane-polarized plane wavefunction $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t) = Ae^{i\Phi} = Ae^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}$ with wavevector \mathbf{k} .

Individual laser 4-vectors reside on light cone or null-invariant.



Combination and interference of 4-vector plane waves (Idealized amplitude case)

 $\Psi_{A \to , \omega \to , \mathbf{k} \to ; A \leftarrow , \omega \leftarrow , \mathbf{k} \leftarrow (\mathbf{r}, t) = A \to e^{i(\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{r} - \omega \to t)} + A \leftarrow e^{i(\mathbf{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{r} - \omega \leftarrow t)}$



Fig. 6.1.1 Sketch of a 1-CW-single-plane-polarized plane wavefunction $\Psi_k(\mathbf{r},t) = Ae^{i\Phi} = Ae^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}$ with wavevector \mathbf{k} .

Individual laser 4-vectors reside on light cone or null-invariant.



Sum and difference vectors are not on the light cone.

Ship Lighthouse Laser lab $\overline{\Omega}'^2 - c^2 \overline{\mathbf{K}'} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{K}'} = \overline{\Omega}^2 - c^2 \overline{\mathbf{K}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{K}} = \omega_0^2 - 0 = c^2 k_0^2$ $\overline{\omega}'^2 - c^2 \overline{\mathbf{k}'} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{k}'} = \overline{\omega}^2 - c^2 \overline{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{k}} = 0 - c^2 \mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}_0 = -c^2 k_0^2$ group waves group waves k Combination group and phase define 4D Minkowski coordinates (Idealized amplitude case)



Fig. 6.2.1 Examples of sequential relativistic transformations of a tetrad of light wavevectors.

Future work: More efficient mapping Lorentz-Group operators and coordinate frames



 Combination and interference of 4-vector plane waves (Idealized polarization case) Combination group and phase waves define 4D Minkowski coordinates
Combination group and phase waves define wave guide dynamics Waveguide dispersion and geometry 1st-quantized cavity modes (And introducing 2nd-quantized cavity modes)





A <u>water</u> waves exceeds c if it breaks parallel to shore so 'break-line'' moves infinitely fast with $k_x = 0$.







$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t) = \exp i(\mathbf{k}^{(+)} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) + \exp i(\mathbf{k}^{(-)} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)$$

= $\exp i(kx \cos \gamma + ky \sin \gamma - \omega t) + \exp i(kx \cos \gamma - ky \sin \gamma - \omega t)$

y-reflected mirror image has **k**-vector **k**(-) at angle - γ . **k**(-) = ($k(-)_{x}$, $k(-)_{y}$, 0) = ($k \cos \gamma$, - $k \sin \gamma$, 0).



guide phase wave and group wave



Combination and interference of 4-vector plane waves (Idealized polarization case) Combination group and phase waves define 4D Minkowski coordinates Combination group and phase waves define wave guide dynamics Waveguide dispersion and geometry Ist-quantized cavity modes (And introducing 2nd-quantized cavity modes)



Condition $k(+)_y = k \sin \gamma = \pi/W$ gives dispersion function $\omega(k_x)$ or ω vs. k_x relation

 $\omega = kc = c(k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2) 1/2$



TE boundary conditions make group be zero on metal walls $y=\pm W/2$. $0=2 \cos(k(W/2) \sin \gamma)$, or: $k(W/2) \sin \gamma = \pi/2$, or: $\sin \gamma = \pi/(kW)$

Condition $k(+)_v = k \sin \gamma = \pi/W$ gives dispersion function $\omega(k_x)$ or ω vs. k_x relation

guide phase wave and group wave

 $\omega = kc = c(k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2)^{1/2} = c(k_x^2 + \pi^2/W^2)^{1/2} = \sqrt{(c^2k_x^2 + \omega_{cut}^2)} \quad \text{where: } \omega_{cut} = \pi c/W.$



 $= e i(kx \cos \gamma - \omega t) [2\cos(ky \sin \gamma)]$ $guide \ phase \ wave \ and \ group \ wave$ $TE \ boundary \ conditions \ make \ group \ be \ zero \ on \ metal \ walls \ y=\pm W/2.$ $0=2 \cos(k(W/2) \sin \gamma), \ or: \ k(W/2) \sin \gamma = \pi/2, \ or: \ \sin \gamma = \pi/(kW)$

Condition $k(+)_y = k \sin \gamma = \pi/W$ gives dispersion function $\omega(k_x)$ or ω vs. k_x relation





Fig. 6.3.2 Thales geometry of cavity or waveguide mode



Fig. 6B.8 Thales geometry of cavity or waveguide mode

(Lecture 28 ends here)







Combination and interference of 4-vector plane waves (Idealized polarization case) Combination group and phase waves define 4D Minkowski coordinates Combination group and phase waves define wave guide dynamics Waveguide dispersion and geometry



1st-quantized cavity modes

(And introducing 2nd-quantized cavity modes)

Cavity eigenfunctions and eigenvalues

Hall of Mirrors capped by a pair of doors at x=0 and x=L becomes a *wave cavity* of length *L*. The doors demand the wave electric field be zero at *x*-boundaries as well as along the walls. New boundary conditions:

$$k_x = k \cos \gamma = n_x \pi / L$$
 $(n_x = 1, 2,...)$

Frequency bands are broken into discrete "quantized" values $\omega_{nx} n_{y}$, one for each pair of integers or "quantum numbers" n_{x} and n_{y} .

$$\omega_{nx} ny = kc = c \sqrt{(k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2)} = c \sqrt{(n_x^2 \pi^2/L^2 + n_y^2 \pi^2/W^2)}$$



Fig. 6.3.7 Cavity modes for three lowest quantum numbers

Fig. 6.3.6 Cavity mode dispersion diagram showing overlapping and discrete ω and k values.

Quantized Amplitude Counting "photon" number

Planck's relation E=Nhv began as a tenative axiom to explain low-T light. Then he tried to disavow it! Einstein picked it up in his 1905 paper. Since then its use has grown enormously and continues to amaze, amuse (or bewilder) all who study it. A current view is that it represents the *quantization* of optical field *amplitude*. We picture this below as *N*-photon wave states for each box-mode of *m* wave kinks.



Quantized Amplitude Counting "photon" number

Planck's relation E=Nhv began as a tenative axiom to explain low-T light. Then he tried to disavow it! Einstein picked it up in his 1905 paper. Since then its use has grown enormously and continues to amaze, amuse (or bewilder) all who study it. A current view is that it represents the *quantization* of optical field *amplitude*. We picture this below as *N*-photon wave states for each box-mode of *m* wave kinks.



Lorentz symmetry effects

How it makes momentum and energy be conserved

A strength (and also, weakness) of CW axioms (1.1-2) is that they are *symmetry* principles

due to the Lorentz-Poincare isotropy of space-time (invariance to space-time translation $T(\delta, \tau)$ in the vacuum).

Operator **T** has plane wave eigenfunctions $\Psi_{k,\omega} = Ae^{i(kx-\omega t)}$ with roots-of-unity eigenvalues $e^{i(k\cdot\delta-\omega\cdot\tau)}$ $\langle \Psi_{k,\omega} | \mathbf{T}^{\dagger} = \langle \Psi_{k,\omega} | e^{-i(k\cdot\delta-\omega\cdot\tau)}$ (5.18a) $\mathbf{T} | \Psi_{k,\omega} \rangle = e^{i(k\cdot\delta-\omega\cdot\tau)} | \Psi_{k,\omega} \rangle$ (5.18b)

This also applies to 2-part or "2-particle" product states $\Psi_{K,\Omega} = \Psi_{k_1,\omega_1}\Psi_{k_2,\omega_2}$ where exponents add (k,ω) -values of each constituent to $K = k_1 + k_2$ and $\Omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2$, and $\mathbf{T}(\delta,\tau)$ -eigenvalues also have that form $e^{i(K\cdot\delta-\Omega\cdot\tau)}$. Matrix $\langle \Psi'_{K',\Omega'} | \mathbf{U} | \Psi_{K,\Omega} \rangle$ of **T**-symmetric evolution **U** is zero unless $K' = k'_1 + k'_2 = K$ and $\Omega' = \omega'_1 + \omega'_2 = \Omega$.

$$\left\langle \Psi_{K',\Omega'} \middle| \mathbf{U} \middle| \Psi_{K,\Omega} \right\rangle = \left\langle \Psi_{K',\Omega'} \middle| \mathbf{T}^{\dagger}(\delta,\tau) \mathbf{U} \mathbf{T}(\delta,\tau) \middle| \Psi_{K,\Omega} \right\rangle$$
 (if $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T} \mathbf{U}$ for all δ and τ)

 $= e^{-i(K'\cdot\delta-\Omega'\cdot\tau)} e^{i(K\cdot\delta-\Omega\cdot\tau)} \left\langle \Psi'_{K',\Omega'} \middle| \mathbf{U} \middle| \Psi_{K,\Omega} \right\rangle = 0 \text{ unless: } K' = K \text{ and: } \Omega' = \Omega$

That's momentum (P=hK) and energy (E=hW) conservation!